

USITP-91-21
 November 1991
 Revised May 1992

The Probability for Formation of Collapsing Textures and Texture Knots.

Stefan Åminneborg

Department of Physics
 Stockholm University
 Vanadisvägen 9
 S-113 46 Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

Global textures are interesting because they are promising candidates for seeds in the structure formation of the universe. The important configurations are those who will be able to collapse. The type of configurations that I will consider are half knots and true knots. I define a half knot as one that covers more than a half- S^3 , and a true knot as a configuration that covers the whole of S^3 . Configurations that are half knots will be able to collapse, a new argument for this collapse criterion is given in this paper.

I will also give some critical remarks on the method of using the total winding number as a criterion for identifying a knot. I propose another more direct method and make use of it when I estimate the probability for formation of both true and half knots by numerical simulations. In three dimensions the probability for formation of a true knot is found to be $p_{knot} = 0.003$ and the probability for formation of a half knot is found to be $p_{half} = 0.066$. Taking into account the chance of annihilation the probability for collapse is found to be in the interval $p_{coll} \in [0.01, 0.04]$.

In the skyrmion picture of hadrons the investigations of formation of true knots are important, and some implications of my result on the predictions of a "topological" theory of baryon production in jets are discussed.

1 Introduction

The existence of a global symmetry, spontaneously broken in such a way that the vacuum manifold is isomorphic to S^3 , seems to provide a promising explanation of the large-scale structure in the universe [1, 8].

The simple but quite general idea [1] is to assume that there exists a four component real scalar field ϕ_a with trivial vacuum above a critical temperature T_c , and a degenerate vacuum at low temperatures with the action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_a \partial^\mu \phi_a - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi_a \phi_a - \eta^2)^2 \right). \quad (1)$$

Here g is the determinant of the metric and λ is a coupling constant. The symmetry-breaking scale η is assumed to be around the GUT-scale ($\approx 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$). This mechanism is possible since the effective potential is temperature dependent in this way for a theory with the action (1) at low energies, it is also found that $T_c \sim \eta$ [2].

In this scenario pseudo-topological defects can be formed by the Kibble mechanism [3]. The vacuum manifold is a 3-sphere, an S^3 . We are here assuming that no inflation has taken place. In the context of inflation there is also the possibility of texture production but now in a different way and with different features [4]. The main mechanism will then be quantum fluctuations of the inflaton field instead of thermal fluctuations, although interesting this will not be further discussed in this paper.

Some of the formed defects will collapse [6] and produce density perturbations [1]. A numerical simulation of the evolution of textures has been made by Spergel et al. [7], and some promising results concerning the structure formation are found in [8]. The textures will give an imprint on the cosmic background radiation that is near the limits of observation [9]. The details of the collapse of a topological texture and the gravitational effects have also been investigated [10].

Let us consider, for the sake of generalisation, a space with d spatial dimensions and in it a $d + 1$ component real scalar field ϕ_a whose vacuum manifold after the phase transition is S^d . For length scales larger than the inverse mass of the radial "Higgs" mode $m_r^{-1} = (\lambda\eta^2)^{-1}$ the dynamics of the field can be described by the nonlinear σ model [1]. Thus we have the simple action

$$S = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_a \partial^\mu \phi_a, \quad (2)$$

with the constraint $\phi_a \phi_a = \eta^2$.

After the symmetry breaking the field will be uncorrelated on length scales larger than the horizon. It seems that the dynamics of the theory is such that the correlation length always can be assumed to be of the same order as the horizon length [1, 7], e.g. the spherically symmetric collapse occurs at the speed of light.

On scales smaller than the correlation length the field has to vary in such a way that it minimizes the energy, the integral of the square of the gradient has to be as small as possible. In regions with a size of the same order as the correlation length we can thus tell what the values of the field are. This is given by the values of the field at the boundary and the demand that the field has to be continuous and sweep out as small area (or hyper-area) as possible. The winding number of a region is defined by the fraction of S^d that is swept out by the field inside this region.

Since the field is uncorrelated on scales larger than the horizon there can exist regions in R^d with a diameter larger than the horizon length where the field winds around S^d . With this is meant that all values in S^d are attained by the field in the region concerned, I will call this a true knot. Notice that the total winding

number of such a configuration is larger than one. True knots will collapse as is seen by Derrick's theorem [5]. More interesting though, is that true knots are not the only type of configurations that can collapse. I will show for a simplified case that configurations covering more than a half- S^3 , (they are called half knots), also want to shrink.

The probability of forming true texture knots is of interest in other cases beside the cosmological texture model. Consider e.g. the model of Ellis and Kowalski for "topological" production of baryons in jets [11, 12], this phenomenological model is inspired by the skyrmion picture of baryons. Here the correlation length is fixed (approximately 1 fm), and the defects are assumed to be stabilized by the Skyrme term. The global chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken at low temperatures but assumed to be restored in the jet fragmentation process. At low energies the chiral $\bar{q}q$ condensate field U is a mapping $U : R^3 \rightarrow SU(N)$, where N is the number of flavours. After the phase transition which takes place in the jet fragmentation region when it cools down it is thus possible that defects can be formed by the Kibble mechanism.

If the chiral $\bar{q}q$ condensate field U covers all of its target space on a region of R^3 a baryon (or anti-baryon) is said to exist at that location. In the simple two flavour case $U : R^3 \rightarrow SU(2) \sim S^3$, so my results in this paper will be relevant here. This will be further discussed in section 3.

In this paper I will focus on the initial conditions for the structure formation, the number density of collapsing textures and true texture knots. I will thus adopt the basic ideas of [6, 13], that is to study the probability for formation of the required type of defect in a suitable small region. In order to take into account boundary effects I will examine the probability of annihilation. I will throughout this paper only treat the case of a flat Friedmann universe, e.g. I assume $\Omega = 1$.

A difficult problem one faces is to determine the characteristics of a texture that is destined for collapse. After that we must decide on the best ways to search for this features. The mostly used method of deciding when a configuration is representing a collapsing texture is to look at the total winding number. I will in this paper give some critical remarks on this method and propose an alternative method.

2 True texture knots

First I will discuss the probability for formation of a true knot.

If we are given the boundary condition that the field at infinity is constant, the problem is topological since it is then possible to compactify our R^d to S^d . The homotopy group of mappings from S^d to S^d is $\pi_d = Z$. The total winding number is thus always an integer.

It is however very important to remember that in general there does not exist any natural boundary conditions, this has also been emphasized by Prokopec and Srivastava [6, 13]. In the lack of boundary conditions the problem is not topological in character since the homotopy group π_d of R^d is trivial. We can therefore no longer use the total winding number for the identification of a knot.

The relevance still of topological textures is due to the fact that textures in three dimensions are unstable against collapse. This will give the problem a "pseudo-topological" character in the sense that the texture will evolve and after a while can resemble a topological texture. We can then make use of our knowledge of the dynamical properties of a collapsing topological texture, many investigations have been made about its metric and the effects on surrounding matter among other things [10].

2.1 Topological textures

As a first exercise I will calculate the probability for formation of a topological texture knot, i.e. a configuration in an S^d space representating a winding number exactly equal to one. This has of course been done before [6, 11] but I will do it in a slightly different way and I also hope that the procedure may shed some light over the discussion about half knots.

We make a triangulation of S^d with regular d-simplices, the minimum number of simplices needed is $d + 2$. In Fig. 1 this kind of triangulation is shown for the case of 2 and 3 dimensions.

We let the distance between two neighbouring vertices be equal to the correlation length. At each vertex in the triangulation the field is assumed to attain, uncorrelated with all the other vertices, a randomly chosen value on S^d . Of course each value of the field on S^d has to be equally probable.

Since we demand the correlation length to be equal to the length of the edges of the simplices, the field on the inside of a simplex is restricted to the interior of the spherical polyhedron on S^d that is spanned by the field values at the simplex' vertices. (This is however not completely true, see the remark in the next section.)

Knots are configurations such that the field covers all of S^d , all possible values of the field are attained and in the right order. Such objects are often called "hedgehogs".

We have a "hedgehog" on S^d when the orientations of the polyhedrons are all equal [11]. The probability for positive orientation is equal to that for negative. The probabilities are not correlated between the different simplices. This can be seen from the fact that the number of simplices are equal to the number of vertices with randomly chosen field values. As we have $d + 2$ simplices the probability that all the spherical polyhedrons have the same orientation is $(1/2)^{(d+1)}$.

This means that the probability for forming a knot in an S^d space is $(1/2)^{(d+1)}$.

2.2 Nontopological textures

We now turn to the much trickier problem of estimating the probability for formation of a non-topological texture knot p_{knot} .

One could as Srivastava [13] try to estimate the probability for a configuration to be such that a region in R^d of suitable size is compactifiable to S^d , and then multiply this with the probability for having a knot in S^d .

Srivastava argues that if we want a configuration such that a region is compactifiable we need a constant field on the boundary of the region. He has calculated the probability for this occurrence in a discretised model. His results implies, in two dimensions $p_{knot} = 8 \cdot 10^{-6}$, and in three dimensions $p_{knot} = 6 \cdot 10^{-9}$ [13]. However we do not have to be that restrictive and these numbers seem to be much too small. This has also been noticed by Borrill et al. [15].

We can relax the demand that the field has to be constant at the boundary, it is a sufficient but not necessary condition for having a compactifiable region. I will try to illustrate this in the case $d = 2$. By Srivastavas arguments we would need a simple closed curve in R^2 such that the image of it on S^2 is a point. However also if the image of one simple closed curve in R^2 is a simple and unclosed curve on S^2 , the region inside the simple closed curve in R^2 will be compactifiable to S^2 . This is to say that we can make a sphere of the region inside the curve by glueing the boundaries together (Fig. 2).

Now it is not an easy task to calculate the probability for such occurrences, so we need some other approach. To begin with we must triangulate a large enough region in R^d in a suitable way. The distance between the vertices of each simplex ought to be the correlation length. In three dimensions it is unfortunately not possible to

make a triangulation with equal distances between all neighbouring vertices, so we have to relax our demand in that case. The region must be large enough for a knot to be able to form, so the diameter of the region has to be around three correlation lengths.

We choose the direction of the field randomly at each vertex, we assign a point on S^d to each vertex. We consider the area (or hyper-area) of the spherical polyhedron spanned by the points on S^d corresponding to the vertices of one simplex. We define the absolute value of the winding number of the simplex as this area (or hyper-area) divided by the area (or hyper-area) of S^d itself. The sign of the winding number is given by the orientation of the spherical polyhedron.

Of course it is not always the case that the field in reality must attain all the values inside the spherical polyhedron described, but for adjacent polyhedrons that does not matter, the net number will become correct when we add together their winding numbers. On the other hand, when it comes to the boundary of the region we really would need knowledge of the situation outside the region in order to get the correct result. Because of this the total winding number will be slightly overestimated.

If we add together all the winding numbers of the simplices we get the total winding number of the whole region and would imagine that we have a knot if the absolute value of the winding number is larger than one. This strategy is used by Prokopec [6].

Now we have to be very careful. The concept of total winding number is not a useful one since π_d of R^d is trivial. The condition that the total winding number is larger than one is surely necessary but it is not sufficient for concluding that we have a true knot. It is possible that a part of a spherical polyhedron with the same orientation appears more than once, so we can get a total winding number larger than one but be left with holes on the sphere, values of the field that are not attained at any point in the region. I illustrate this with a two-dimensional example in Fig. 3, here the field only takes values in the lower half of S^2 despite that the total winding number is found to be one.

2.3 Numerical simulations of true knots

To tackle the problem of identifying a true knot we try a different approach. In order to decide whether a configuration is a true knot or not, we need to explicitly test each value of the field and look if it is attained somewhere in the region. This is of course impossible, we have to restrict ourselves to a finite number of test points uniformly distributed on the sphere. We test one point on S^d after another. The algorithm for deciding whether a point is contained in a spherical polyhedron or not can be found in [11]. We sum over all polyhedrons and check whether the point under test gives a net contribution.

We take a set of N test points uniformly distributed on the sphere, and for each configuration test whether all of the test points are contained in the configuration or not. We test n configurations on the region used, and count how many times n_{pass} all the test points pass the test, this is done for different numbers N . The output is the relative number density $p = n_{pass}/n$.

In order to imitate that we test all points on the sphere we have to incorporate a trick. The number p that we get out of the computation can be interpreted as an estimate for the probability $p(N)$ that all the test vectors will pass the test for a given N . This is of course larger than the probability of creating a true knot p_{knot} , because we have not tested all points on the sphere. The statistical error is calculated using $(\Delta p)^2 = p(1-p)/(4n)$.

The probability $p(N)$ is theoretically

$$p(N) = p_{knot} + \int_0^1 (1-x)^N f(x) dx, \quad (3)$$

where $f(x)dx$ is the probability to get a texture that miss to cover a fraction x of the sphere, and $(1-x)^N$ is the probability that all the test points miss this fraction of the sphere. By partial integrations we can write $p(N)$ as

$$p(N) = p_{knot} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{N!}{(N+i+1)!} f^{(i)}(0) \quad (4)$$

If we expand this in terms of $\frac{1}{N}$ we get for the first three terms,

$$p(N) \approx p_{knot} + \frac{1}{N} f(0) + \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^2 (f'(0) - f(0)). \quad (5)$$

To get p_{knot} we fit this curve to our results from the simulations, as a bonus we also get $f(0)$ and $f'(0)$. With this method we also get a very accurate error estimation for p_{knot} .

I have used this method in order to estimate the probability p_{knot} for formation of a true knot in 2 and 3 dimensions. I will later (in section 4.2) use this method also for estimating the probability for formation of a half knot.

The region used in 2 dimensions is depicted in Fig. 4. It consists of 12 vertices and 13 regular triangles. The results of the simulations in 2 dimensions are shown in Fig. 5. We find $p_{knot} = 0.0197 \pm 0.0004$.

The region used in 3 dimensions is a part of a body centered cubic lattice, this lattice can be divided into irregular tetrahedrons as is depicted in Fig. 6. This triangulation has been used by others in the context of monopoles [18]. Each vertex is common to 24 tetrahedrons. My region consists of a "middle" tetrahedron and all the tetrahedrons that have any vertex in common with this "middle" tetrahedron. This will give us a region consisting of 32 vertices and 71 tetrahedrons.

The results of the simulations in 3 dimensions are shown in Fig. 7. We find $p_{knot} = (2.9 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^{-3}$.

Because I ignored the boundary effects this number is a slight overestimation. We will however not have the risk of annihilation of two true knots, the knots collapse before the pair is inside the horizon.

3 "Topological" production of baryons

As mentioned in the Introduction a case where the results in this paper concerning true knots are of interest is the model of "topological" production of baryons in jets. The true knots in this theory are called skyrmions and are identified with baryons and anti-baryons.

In the original treatment of the model, Ellis and Kowalski [11, 12] did not use quotation marks around topological. They introduced a hypothetical fourth dimension in order to compactify R^3 in to S^3 and thus be able to define the winding number. Although unphysical this fourth dimension certainly has physical implications [13], without its introduction we are concerned with mappings $R^3 \rightarrow S^3$ and they are trivial.

By their construction they found that the probability for formation of a skyrmion in one simplex is $1/16$ since this is the probability for formation of a topological texture in 3 dimensions (see sec. 2.1).

Without any boundary conditions the number should rather be 0.003 as found above. The exactness of my result can be discussed since I neglected boundary effects and the simplices were not regular. Nevertheless this number should be quite a good estimate for the real probability, furthermore it is an overestimation.

A very important feature of the problem of baryon production in jets is that the regions of hadronization are quite small. Outside the jet the field is confined to be constant. Thus we do have some fixed boundary conditions and the number 0.003 will only be appropriate for large hadronization regions and then well inside the jet. The size of the hadronization regions will typically increase with energy.

According to my analysis above, the numbers of Ellis and Kowalski on the baryon multiplicity at high energies have to be decreased. For small hadronization regions their treatment serves as a good approximation, though.

Also the dependence on sphericity will be affected, the baryon multiplicity will increase less with increased sphericity than in their treatment.

Their baryon momentum distribution has to be corrected because the partons momenta are not uniformly distributed in the region and the probability for baryon creation is larger near the boundary.

4 Collapsing cosmic textures

In the case of cosmological textures the possibility of later collapse of a texture is the important circumstance. When a half knot, a region in which the field covers more than a half- S^3 (note that this is not equivalent with covering more than half of S^3), comes inside the horizon it is energetically most preferable for it to collapse. This will be discussed in more detail later. The collapsing texture will give rise to density perturbations [8]. When the size of the texture shrinks to zero it will eventually unwind itself [1].

It is common [6, 14] to call a region with a winding number greater than one half, a half knot. This has a drawback, it is possible that there exist regions with a total winding number more than one half where the field does not cover a half- S^3 . I have already discussed this kind of problems in the context of true knots.

The arguments for the collapse criterion directly use the fact that the field covers more than one half- S^3 [1, 6], rather than the total winding being greater than 1/2. This has however not been emphasised before as far as I know, I also illustrate this with a new argument in section 4.1.

Now there are many ways to explore the pattern of the textures and the number of collapses as a function of time.

One strategy is to study for a certain small region of minimal size, the probability for forming a texture with winding number large enough. This in turn can be made in several ways. Two main philosophies are the discrete [13] and the continuous [6] models. In the discrete model one restricts the field to $d + 2$ values uniformly distributed on S^d . Even if the continuous model is harder to handle I think it is to be preferred due to its greater accuracy as is illustrated by Prokopec [6].

Because the texture knots unwind and disappear, one would imagine that the number of collapses is constant in a flat universe. The situation looks the same at all times, the so called scaling solution [1]. That this is true has been indicated in actual simulations on large grids by Spergel et al. [7]. This holds only for a flat universe, it is of course not true for a closed or open universe. Their result is that the number of unwinding textures per horizon volume per unit time is constant and equal to 0.04 with a relative error of about 50%. This would mean that the probability of creating in a minimal region a configuration which is destined for collapse is equal to 0.04.

Another strategy is to consider large lattices and randomly distribute field values on the points of the lattice, afterwards the number of textures that may collapse is counted. This is done by Borrill et al. [15] using the discrete approach, and by Leese and Prokopec [14] using the continuous approach. Here one great difficulty is that the knots are quite unlocalised so the results are hard to interpret. Borrill et al. use the concept of partial winding in order to solve the localisation problem, they do not use the concept of total winding number at all. They find the relative number of collapsing textures to be 0.035, it is said to be an overestimation and having a relative error of about 50%.

The probability for formation of a collapsing texture is not equal to the probability for formation of a half knot, there is also the chance that some of the half knots will annihilate each other before they start to collapse [15]. I will later in this paper in more detail discuss the probability for creation of half knots and their annihilation. I will then find a result on the relative number of collapsing textures consistent with the above mentioned.

4.1 The collapse criterion.

If we want to examine the problem of the collapse criterion we have to study the non-linear σ model in more detail.

In order to simplify the discussion of the collapse we look at the "spherically symmetric" ansatz

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \eta(\cos \chi(r, t), \hat{\mathbf{r}} \sin \chi(r, t)), \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is the unit vector in the direction of $\mathbf{r}$. This ansatz satisfies the constraint $\phi_a \phi_a = \eta^2$. I use quotation marks because this ansatz is symmetric only under simultaneous rotation in both space and field space. We consider only spherically symmetric collapse, this is however quite general as I will argue later.

With flat Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = R(t)^2(dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)), \quad (7)$$

the action (2) for this ansatz becomes

$$S = 4\pi\eta^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt R^2(t) \int_0^{\infty} dr r^2 ((\dot{\chi})^2 - (\chi')^2 - 2\frac{\sin^2\chi}{r^2}), \quad (8)$$

where dot stands for partial derivation with respect to the conformal time t , and prime stands for partial derivation with respect to the radial coordinate r . If we vary S and put $\delta S = 0$ we get the equation of motion

$$\ddot{\chi} = \chi'' + \frac{2}{r}\chi' - \frac{\sin 2\chi}{r^2}. \quad (9)$$

We have here taken $R(t)$ to be constant which is a good approximation when the horizon is large. The equation we get if we put $\chi(r, t) = f(r)$ has been investigated by Iwasaki and Ohyama [19],

$$f'' + \frac{2}{r}f' - \frac{\sin 2f}{r^2} = 0. \quad (10)$$

They found that the only finite boundary conditions at zero and infinity that can be upheld by the solutions $f(r)$ of this equation are

$$f(0) = n\pi, \quad f(r \rightarrow \infty) = n\pi \pm \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (11)$$

An important observation is that the solutions of (10) thus always correspond to textures covering exactly a half- S^3 .

Let us now take a solution $f(r)$ of (10) with boundary conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $f(r \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{\pi}{2}$, the existence of such an f is guaranteed by the proof by Iwasaki and Ohyama and that is all that we need. We take as initial conditions $\chi(r, t_0) = af(r)$ and $\dot{\chi}(r, t_0) = 0$, where a is a constant. If we put this into (9) we get $\ddot{\chi}(r, t_0) > 0$ when $a > 1$ and $\ddot{\chi}(r, t_0) < 0$ when $a < 1$.

This is because when $0 < 2f < \pi$, for $a > 1$ we have $\sin 2af < a \sin 2f$ and for $a < 1$, $\sin 2af > a \sin 2f$.

What we have found is that when the special "spherically symmetric" texture we are considering covers more than a half-sphere it tends to collapse, but if it covers less than a half-sphere it tends to expand. Furthermore since there are no other solutions to (10) than (11) $\ddot{\chi}$ can never change sign again, so the collapse or the expansion will go on for ever. Although this holds only for a one parameter set of initial configurations it indicates that the critical property of a configuration that determines the collapse is whether it covers more than a half- S^3 or not. In this case that coincides with the winding number being greater than 1/2 but my assertion is that the property of covering more than a half- S^3 is the crucial one.

Recent investigations indicates that the critical winding number for the collapse of a "spherical" texture is somewhat greater than 0.5. Two different inconsistent results exist, 0.74 ± 0.03 [16] and 0.602 ± 0.003 [17]. These are the numbers for a flat universe, the results for the other cases can be found in the references. The main reason for the critical winding number being greater than 0.5 is that the half knot in practise is not infinite, opposite to my implicit assumption in the analysis above.

In general we are not dealing with "spherically symmetric" configurations. It is possible that the "spherical" solutions acts as attractors in the same way that the self-similar solution appears to do, although this ought to be further examined. There is a claim that knots become spherical in the collapse, presumably because other modes than the spherical are radiated away [9].

Because of the above arguments I will in the following assume that collapse will take place for configurations covering more than a half-sphere, a half- S^3 , and they will be called half knot textures. Observe here that according to this definition a true knot is also a half knot.

4.2 Texture half knots.

I will now discuss the probability for formation of a half knot texture.

We can make a good estimate for the probability for formation of a half knot by a simplification.

Let us consider the kind of triangulation that is shown in Fig. 8 for 2 and 3 dimensions. The general procedure in d dimensions is to start with a regular d -simplex and add its centre.

To use this kind of triangulation is of course not entirely correct since the distance between the centre and one outer vertex is not equal to the distance between two neighbouring outer vertices. If we take the distance between the centre and the other vertices to equal the correlation length, our construction means that we are neglecting non-correlations occurring between the simplices' outer vertices. The field on the simplices is thus not entirely given by the field on the vertices. It is hard to predict whether this will give an enhancement or a suppression of the number of half knots. Another way to interpret the situation would be to say that we have a space that is curved in such a way that the distances between nearby vertices are equal.

The construction described above is essentially the same as the triangulation of

S^d considered before. The difference is that we now do not treat the polyhedron consisting of only the outer vertices as a simplex on its own. This fact enables us to conclude immediately that the probability for forming a half knot is $(\frac{1}{2})^{(d+1)}$. The field on the hypothetical simplex consisting of only the outer vertices can not span more than a half- S^d , so if we would have had an S^d -texture for a given configuration, the $d+1$ polyhedrons that we are considering must wind over more than a half- S^d .

In three dimensions we thus arrive to the conclusion that the probability for forming a half knot is 1/16, which should be compared with 0.04 and 0.035, the probability for formation of a texture that will collapse according to Spergel et al. [7] and Borrill et al. [15], respectively.

Is the main reason for the difference between my number and theirs the simplification made when calculating the probability for half knots?

The answer is no. This will be shown in the next section.

4.3 Numerical simulations of half knots

In order to examine the properties of more appropriate triangulations than the one described above I have made simulations similar to the ones in the calculation of the probability for true knots. There is only one modification of the method used that is needed. When a test vector (test point) has not passed the test I test the inversion of the test vector. If, for all vectors, the vector itself or its inversion is contained in the configuration we have a half knot, because the configuration then contains a half- S^d .

The region used in 3 dimensions is based on one vertex of the body centered cubic lattice described in section 2.3 and consists of all the 24 tetrahedrons that contain this special vertex. We thus have 14 vertices around the central vertex.

I have used the same type of analysis as in the case of true knots and the results are shown in Fig. 9. We get $p_{half} = 0.066 \pm 0.001$, this is even greater than the number 1/16 found by the simplification above.

4.4 Collapsing Textures

If we want to know the probability for formation of a collapsing texture we have to take into account the boundary effects we have been neglecting before, we must consider the chance of annihilation.

If we have a half knot in our region the probability for formation of a half knot with a winding number of opposite sign, in a neighbouring region is approximately $p_{half}/2$. This is if we neglect the fact that the probabilities are correlated to each other. The probability for forming a half knot in a region, when we already have one in another region sharing one of its faces, is slightly enhanced.

With n_{reg} neighbouring regions the probability for annihilation of one formed half knot is

$$\frac{p_{half}}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_{reg}-1} (1 - \frac{p_{half}}{2})^i. \quad (12)$$

This can be approximated by $n_{reg}p_{half}/2$ since $p_{half}/2$ is much smaller than 1. We now can conclude that the probability for formation of a collapsing texture is

$$p_{coll} = p_{half}(1 - \frac{n_{reg}}{2}p_{half}). \quad (13)$$

Notice that this means that p_{coll} can never be greater than $\frac{1}{2n_{reg}}$. In three dimensions, the lowest realistic number of neighbouring regions is 12, since the area of a sphere with radius equal to the horizon length is approximately 12 "horizon areas".

We can thus conclude that the number of collapsing textures per horizon volume per expansion time probably does not exceed $1/24$.

Since the region in the triangulation I considered has 24 faces we have in this case $p_{coll} = 0.014 \pm 0.001$, the errors are only statistical.

My triangulation is not a universal one and other ones could be more appropriate. We can try to incorporate this uncertainty by taking quite conservative error limits; $p_{half} \in [0.06, 0.07]$ and $n_{reg} \in [12, 24]$. This will give us $p_{coll} \in [0.01, 0.04]$.

5 Conclusions

We have seen that we need to be careful when we try to identify texture knots and half knots. I have pointed out that we can not use the concept of total winding number when identifying a true knot. Instead I have used the method of explicit test whether all values of the S^3 field are attained in a certain region or not. With a modification of this method I have also been able to estimate the probability for formation of half knots. A half knot is here defined as a configuration that covers more than a half- S^3 . These configurations are interesting because this feature indicates collapse as shown in section 4.1. However, two adjacent half knots with different orientations will annihilate each other, and in that case there will not be any collapse. Taking this into account I found the probability for collapse to be $p_{coll} = 0.014 \pm 0.001$ for a special triangulation on a cubic lattice also considered by others in the context of monopoles [18].

In general I found p_{coll} to be in the interval, $p_{coll} \in [0.01, 0.04]$. This is consistent with the results of Borrill et al. 0.035 [15] and Spergel et al. 0.04 [7]. In both these works they assume their relative errors to be around 50%, furthermore Borrill et al. claim that their number is an overestimation. In addition, I have found that of these collapsing textures approximately 1/10 are true knots. This may be important as true knots resemble topological textures, with gravitational properties and impact on surrounding matter that are better understood than those of general half knots.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Lars Bergström and Per Ernström for helpful discussions and suggestions.

References

- [1] N. Turok, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 2625
- [2] L. Dolan, R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 3320;
E. Kolb, M. Turner, The Early Universe (Addison Wesley, 1990) p. 198
- [3] T. W. B. Kibble, J. Phys. A9 (1976) 1387
- [4] D. Lyth, Phys. Lett. B246 (1990) 359;
M. Nagasawa, J. Yokoyama, Fermilab-Pub-91/186-A (1991);
H. Hodges, J. Primack, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 3155
- [5] G. H. Derrick, J. Math. Phys. 5 (1964) 1252
- [6] T. Prokopec, Phys. Lett. B262 (1991) 215

- [7] D. Spergel, N. Turok, W. Press, B. Ryden, Phys. Rev. D43 (1990) 1038
- [8] A. Gooding, D. Spergel, N. Turok, PUPT-1207 (1990);
A. Gooding, C. Park, D. Spergel, N. Turok, R. Gott, PUP-TH-1265 (1991)
- [9] D. Spergel, N. Turok, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 2736;
- [10] R. Durrer, Phys. Lett. B259 (1991) 48;
V. Sahni, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 301;
D. Nötzold, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 961;
M. Barriola, T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 1056;
R. Durrer, M. Heusler, P. Jetzer, M. Straumann, ZU-TH 9/91 (1991)
- [11] J. Ellis, H. Kowalski, Phys. Lett. B214 (1988) 161
- [12] J. Ellis, H. Kowalski, Nucl. Phys. B327 (1989) 32
- [13] A. M. Srivastava, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 1047
- [14] R. A. Leese, T. Prokopec, Phys. Rev. D44 (1991) 3749
- [15] J. Borrill, E. Copeland, A. Liddle, Phys. Lett. B258 (1991) 310
- [16] J. Borrill, E. Copeland, A. Liddle, SUSSEX-AST 92/1-1
- [17] T. Prokopec, A. Sornborger, R. H. Brandenberger, BROWN-HET-840
- [18] E. Copeland, D Haws, T. W. B. Kibble, D. Mitchell, N. Turok, Nucl. Phys. B298 (1988) 445
- [19] M. Iwasaki, H. Ohyama, Phys. Rev. D40 (1989) 3125

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 : (a) Triangulation of S^2 , the vertices numbered 0 are all to be identified with each other. Observe that this means that we get a tetrahedron if we make use of a third dimension and fold up the triangles containing the vertex 0.

(b) Triangulation of S^3 . It consists of a tetrahedron 1234 with a tetrahedron attached to each of its faces, giving a total of five tetrahedrons. Also here the vertices numbered 0 are all to be identified with each other. If we here make use of a fourth dimension we get a regular elementary 4-simplex by folding "up" the tetrahedrons containing the vertex 0.

Fig. 2 : A region (a) in R^2 compactifiable to S^2 . The curve $C(AB)$ is mapped on a certain curve $Im(C(AB))$ on S^2 (b), and the curve BA is mapped on the very same curve, $Im(C(AB)) = Im(C(BA))$.

Fig. 3 : A region (a) in R^2 which is mapped on the lower half of S^2 (b) two times giving a total winding number of 1.

Fig. 4 : The triangulation used in the simulation of true texture knots in 2 dimensions.

Fig. 5 : Result of a simulation of the probability for formation of true texture knots in 2 dimensions. The solid line shows the best fit. $p_{knot} = 0.020 \pm 0.001$, $f(0) = 2.0 \pm 0.4$, $f(0) - f'(0) = 80 \pm 40$, $\chi^2 = 1.6$.

Fig. 6 : One of the tetrahedrons on the body centered cubic lattice that is used when constructing the triangulation used in the simulation of true texture knots in 3 dimensions.

Fig. 7 : Result of a simulation of the probability for formation of true texture knots in 3 dimensions. The solid line shows the best fit. $p_{knot} = (2.9 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-3}$, $f(0) = 7 \pm 1$, $f(0) - f'(0) = (2.5 \pm 1) \cdot 10^3$, $\chi^2 = 1.04$.

Fig. 8 : Triangulations in (a) 2 and (b) 3 dimensions used in a simple estimation of the probability for formation of a half knot. The vertex 0 in (a) is situated at the centre of the triangle 123. Similarly the vertex 0 in (b) is situated at the centre of the tetrahedron 1234. Observe the similarity between these and the triangulations shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 9 : Result of a simulation of the probability for formation of half knots in 3 dimensions. The solid line shows the best fit. $p_{knot} = 0.066 \pm 0.001$, $f(0) = 65 \pm 7$, $f(0) - f'(0) = (39 \pm 8) \cdot 10^3$, $\chi^2 = 0.66$.